

# Low-temperature Crystal Structure and $^{57}\text{Fe}$ Mössbauer Spectroscopy of $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$

Marcus Tegel<sup>a</sup>, Inga Schellenberg<sup>b</sup>, Franziska Hummel<sup>a</sup>, Rainer Pöttgen<sup>b</sup>, and Dirk Johrendt<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department Chemie und Biochemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstraße 5–13 (Haus D), 81377 München, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Corrensstraße 30, 48149 Münster, Germany

Reprint requests to D. Johrendt. Email: johrendt@lmu.de

*Z. Naturforsch.* **2009**, *64b*, 815–820; received April 27, 2009

The crystal structure of the layered iron arsenide  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  was determined between 300 and 10 K. The lattice parameters of the tetragonal cell decrease anisotropically according to  $\frac{\Delta a}{a} : \frac{\Delta c}{c} \approx 4.2$ , which results in a slight flattening of the As–Fe–As bond angle within the FeAs layers. No indication of a structural instability could be detected.  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Mössbauer spectroscopic data show only a single signal at 4.2, 77, and 298 K, subjected to quadrupole splitting. The isomer shift increases from 0.36(1) mm s<sup>−1</sup> at 298 K to 0.49(1) mm s<sup>−1</sup> at 4.2 K. No indication for magnetic ordering was found.

**Key words:** Superconductors, Iron Arsenides, Crystal Structure,  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Mössbauer Spectroscopy

## Introduction

The discovery of high- $T_c$  superconductivity in a number of iron arsenides with  $\text{ZrCuSiAs}$  [1],  $\text{ThCr}_2\text{Si}_2$ - [2] and  $\text{Cu}_2\text{Sb}$ -type structures [3] has raised an enormous and growing interest in these classes of materials [4, 5]. Especially the quest for new members of this family of superconductors with even higher critical temperatures ( $T_c$ ) attracts the attention of many research groups. Despite the substantial progress that has been made within only one year, the exact recipe that produces higher  $T_c$ 's is far from being clear. An empirical relation between the dimensionality of the crystal structures and the critical temperatures has been proposed. The largest  $T_c$  of up to 55 K appears in  $\text{ZrCuSiAs}$ -type compounds like  $\text{Sm}(\text{O},\text{F})\text{FeAs}$  [6], where the [FeAs] layers are well separated by [SmO] layers. In  $\text{ThCr}_2\text{Si}_2$ -type materials like  $(\text{Ba},\text{K})\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ , where the FeAs layers are only separated by barium atoms, the highest observed  $T_c$  is 38 K [2], and finally in  $\text{LiFeAs}$  with an even smaller layer separation,  $T_c$  decreases further down to 18 K [3]. However, the relation between  $T_c$  and the separation of the FeAs layers, *i. e.* the two-dimensional character, is not justified by any theoretical argument and may have its origin in some rather artificial relationships to the cuprate superconductors. Nevertheless, as long as no

other signpost is available, the search for new iron arsenide materials with low-dimensional structures is a promising task. Recently, new compounds derived from structures with isoelectronic copper sulfide ( $\text{CuS}$ ) layers [7–9] were reported [10, 11], among them the superconductors  $\text{Sr}_2\text{ScO}_3\text{FeP}$  ( $T_c = 17$  K) [12] and  $\text{Sr}_2\text{VO}_3\text{FeAs}$  ( $T_c = 37$  K) [13]. However, these compounds become superconducting without doping, and they also lack the supposed preconditions for superconductivity in iron arsenides, because they show neither structural distortions nor antiferromagnetic ordering. Thus, the origin of superconductivity remains unclear in these new compounds just as its absence in the previously reported compound  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  [10].

In order to complete the structural and magnetic data of this compound, we present the low-temperature crystal structure and the results of a  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Mössbauer spectroscopy study of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  in this paper. We also give some crystallographic relationships that may be useful in the search for new layered iron-based superconductors.

## Experimental Section

### Synthesis

$\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  was synthesized by heating a stoichiometric mixture of strontium, scandium, iron(II) oxide and




Fig. 1. Powder pattern (blue) and Rietveld refinement (red) of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  at 300 K (color online).

arsenic oxide in an alumina crucible sealed in a silica ampoule under an atmosphere of purified argon. The mixture was heated to 1323 K at a rate of 200 K/h, kept at this temperature for 60 h and cooled down to r.t. The product was homogenized in an agate mortar, pressed into a pellet and sintered at 1323 K for 60 h.

#### Crystal structure determination

Powder patterns were recorded on a Huber G670 Guinier imaging plate diffractometer ( $\text{CuK}\alpha_1$  radiation, Ge-111 monochromator) equipped with a closed-cycle He cryostat. Rietveld refinements were performed with the TOPAS package [14] using the fundamental parameters approach for reflection profiles (convolution of appropriate source emission profiles with axial instrument contributions as well as crystallite microstructure effects) (Fig. 1). In order to describe small peak half widths and shape anisotropy effects, the approach of Le Bail and Jouanneaux [15] was implemented into the TOPAS program, and the relevant parameters were allowed to refine freely at 300 and 10 K. The preferred orientation of the crystallites was described with a March-Dollase function. An empirical  $2\theta$ -dependent absorption correction for the different absorption lengths of the Guinier geometry was applied. In order to get the accurate course of the lattice parameters, powder patterns between 10 and 300 K were refined using a similar approach as described in ref. [16]. As the background for  $2\theta$  between 10 and  $25^\circ$  shows artifacts from the low-temperature configuration of the Guinier diffractometer, small sections of this range were excluded from the refinements.

#### $^{57}\text{Fe}$ Mössbauer spectroscopy

A  $^{57}\text{Co}/\text{Rh}$  source was available for the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Mössbauer spectroscopy investigations, and the quoted values of

the isomer shifts are given relative to this material. The  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  sample was placed in a thin-walled PVC container. The measurement was run in the usual transmission geometry at temperatures between 4.2 and 298 K. The source was kept at r.t. The total counting time was approximately 1 d per spectrum. Fitting of the spectra was performed with the NORMOS-90 program system [17].

## Results and Discussion

### Crystal chemistry

The refined crystal structure of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  (Fig. 2) at r.t. is in good agreement with ref. [10]. A detailed description can also be found in ref. [18]. No evidence of any structural instability at low temperatures was detected. The crystallographic data of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  at 300 and 10 K are compiled in Table 1. The course of the lattice parameters and




Fig. 2. Crystal structure of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  (color online).

Table 1. Crystallographic data for  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  at 300 and 10 K.

Temperature	300 K	10 K
Space group	$I4/mmm$	$I4/mmm$
Molar mass, $\text{g mol}^{-1}$	694.302	694.302
Lattice parameters		
$a$ , pm	407.81(1)	407.20(1)
$c$ , pm	2683.86(5)	2667.19(5)
Cell volume, $\text{nm}^3$	0.44635(2)	0.44225(1)
Density, $\text{g cm}^{-3}$	5.17	5.21
$\mu$ , $\text{mm}^{-1}$	68.5	69.1
$Z$	2	2
Data points	18298	17575
Reflections	99	99
$d$ range	1.008–13.419	1.006–13.336
Excluded $2\theta$ range(s), deg	14.5–17.5	11.3–13.0; 14.0–18.9
Constraints	1	1
Atomic variables	10	10
Profile variables	6	6
Anisotropy variables	24	24
Background variables	60	60
Other variables	5	5
$R_p$ , $wR_p$	0.014, 0.018	0.014, 0.019
$R_{\text{Bragg}}$ , $\chi^2$	0.005, 0.832	0.006, 0.810
Atomic parameters:		
Sr1	$2b$ (0, 0, $1/2$ ); $U_{\text{iso}} = 152(5)$	$2b$ (0, 0, $1/2$ ); $U_{\text{iso}} = 46(5)$
Sr2	$4e$ (0, 0, $z$ ); $z = 0.3604(1)$ ; $U_{\text{iso}} = 63(4)$	$4e$ (0, 0, $z$ ); $z = 0.3601(1)$ ; $U_{\text{iso}} = 26(4)$
Sc1	$4e$ (0, 0, $z$ ); $z = 0.0727(1)$ ; $U_{\text{iso}} = 116(7)$	$4e$ (0, 0, $z$ ); $z = 0.0730(1)$ ; $U_{\text{iso}} = 58(7)$
Fe1	$4d$ (0, $1/2$ , $1/4$ ); $U_{\text{iso}} = 115(5)$	$4d$ (0, $1/2$ , $1/4$ ); $U_{\text{iso}} = 33(5)$
As1	$4e$ (0, 0, $z$ ); $z = 0.1996(1)$ ; $U_{\text{iso}} = 151(5)$	$4e$ (0, 0, $z$ ); $z = 0.2002(1)$ ; $U_{\text{iso}} = 83(5)$
O1	$8g$ (0, $1/2$ , $z$ ); $z = 0.0828(1)$ ; $U_{\text{iso}} = 97(10)$	$8g$ (0, $1/2$ , $z$ ); $z = 0.0826(1)$ ; $U_{\text{iso}} = 79(10)$
O2	$2a$ (0, 0, 0); $U_{\text{iso}} = 97(10)$	$2a$ (0, 0, 0); $U_{\text{iso}} = 79(10)$
Selected bond lengths (pm) and angles (deg):		
Sr–O	$254.6(2) \times 4$ ; $288.4(1) \times 4$ ; $301.6(3) \times 8$	$254.7(2) \times 4$ ; $287.9(1) \times 4$ ; $299.9(3) \times 8$
Sc–O	$195.1(2) \times 1$ ; $205.7(1) \times 4$	$194.8(2) \times 1$ ; $205.2(1) \times 4$
Fe–Fe	$288.4(1) \times 4$	$287.9(1) \times 4$
Fe–As	$244.8(1) \times 4$	$243.1(1) \times 4$
As–Fe–As	$107.8(1) \times 4$ ; $112.8(1) \times 2$ ( $\epsilon$ )	$107.4(1) \times 4$ ; $113.8(1) \times 2$ ( $\epsilon$ )
O–Sc–O	$89.0(1) \times 4$ ; $97.6(1) \times 4$ ; $164.9(2) \times 2$	$89.1(1) \times 4$ ; $97.1(1) \times 4$ ; $165.8(2) \times 2$


Fig. 3. Lattice parameters and the vertical As–Fe–As angle ( $\epsilon$ ) of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  at various temperatures. For the lattice parameters the error bars are within data points.

Table 2. Site occupancies for tetragonal structures with the Pearson symbol  $tI28$  (no. 139), space group  $I4/mmm$ , and the Wyckoff sequence  $ge^3dba$ . The  $z$  parameters of the  $8g$  and  $4e$  sites (if refined) are listed in parentheses. These  $z$  parameters correspond to settings 0,  $1/2$ ,  $z$  for the  $8g$  and 0, 0,  $z$  for the  $4e$  sites. For details see text.

Compound	8g ( $z$ )	4e ( $z$ )	4e ( $z$ )	4e ( $z$ )	4d	2b	2a	Ref.
$\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$	O1 (0.0828)	Sr2 (0.6396)	As (0.1996)	Sc (0.0727)	Fe	Sr1	O2	–
$\text{Sr}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_5\text{Cu}_2\text{S}_2$	O1 (0.0798)	Sr2 (0.6418)	S (0.1956)	Fe (0.0718)	Cu	Sr1	O2	[29]
$\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Cu}_2\text{S}_2$	O1 (0.087)	Sr2 (0.6431)	S (0.195)	Sc (0.073)	Cu	Sr1	O2	[30]
$\text{U}_3\text{Co}_4\text{Ge}_7$	Ge2 (0.08041)	U2 (0.67001)	Ge3 (0.2025)	Co1 (0.1174)	Co2	Ge1	U1	[21]
$\text{SmNi}_3\text{Ge}_3$	Ni1 (0.0553)	Sm (0.6535)	Ni2 (0.1999)	Ge1 (0.1063)	Ge2	Ge4	Ge3	[20]
$\text{Eu}_2\text{Pt}_7\text{AlP}_{2.95}$	Pt3 (0.0705)	Eu (0.6652)	Pt1 (0.2074)	P2 (0.1195)	P1	Al	Pt2	[31]
$\text{Eu}_2\text{Pt}_{7.3}\text{Mg}_{0.7}\text{P}_3$	Pt3 (0.0734)	Eu (0.6665)	Pt1 (0.2080)	P2 (0.1214)	P1	0.7Mg+0.3Pt	Pt2	[31]
$(\text{K/Tl})_2\text{Cu}_7\text{SbS}_4$	Cu1 (0.0570)	Tl+K (0.6483)	S2 (0.214)	S1 (0.093)	Cu2	Sb	Cu3	[19]




Fig. 4. Experimental and simulated  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Mössbauer spectra of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  at various temperatures.

As–Fe–As angles on cooling reveals no anomaly, as shown in Fig. 3. While the  $a$  lattice parameter decreases only by 0.61 pm, the  $c$  lattice parameter decreases by 16.7 pm on cooling to 10 K. Thus the thermal contraction of the unit cell is anisotropic according to  $\frac{\Delta c}{c} : \frac{\Delta a}{a} \approx 4.2$ . The more pronounced shrinkage of the  $c$  axis leads to a slight increase of the vertical

Table 3. Fitting parameters of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Mössbauer spectra of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  at different temperatures.  $\delta$ : isomer shift;  $\Gamma$ : experimental line width,  $\Delta E_Q$ : electric quadrupole splitting parameter (for details see text).

$T$ (K)	$\delta$ (mms $^{-1}$ )	$\Gamma$ (mms $^{-1}$ )	$\Delta E_Q$ (mms $^{-1}$ )
298	0.36(1)	0.34(1)	0.19(1)
77	0.47(1)	0.30(1)	0.21(1)
4.2	0.49(1)	0.32(1)	0.20(1)

As–Fe–As angle ( $\varepsilon$  of Fig. 2) by about  $1^\circ$ , *i. e.* the FeAs layers become flatter.

The structure of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ , space group  $I4/mmm$ , Wyckoff sequence  $ge^3dba$ , is closely related to other structures which, however, have different composition. The different site occupancy variants and the corresponding free  $z$  parameters for the  $8g$  (0,  $1/2$ ,  $z$ ) and  $4e$  (0, 0,  $z$ ) sites are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The first representative of this structure type was the mineral chalcotallite  $(\text{K/Tl})_2\text{Cu}_7\text{SbS}_4$  [19]. Later on, the ternary germanides  $\text{SmNi}_3\text{Ge}_3$  [20] and  $\text{U}_3\text{Co}_4\text{Ge}_7$  [21] and the quaternary and quinary compounds listed in Table 2 have been reported.

The large structural diversity of this structure type enables formation of  $[\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2]$ ,  $[\text{Cu}_2\text{S}_2]$ ,  $[\text{Co}_2\text{Ge}_2]$ ,  $[\text{Ni}_2\text{Ge}_2]$ , and  $[\text{Pt}_2\text{P}_2]$  tetrahedral layers, which can be separated by either intermetallic or oxide layers, leading to different bonding patterns. The tetrahedral layers are built up from the atoms at Wyckoff positions  $4e$  (4<sup>th</sup> column in Table 2) and  $4d$  (6<sup>th</sup> column in Table 2). It is interesting to note that for some representatives the transition metal atoms switch

between the  $4e$  and  $4d$  sites, but this does not follow the course of the electronegativities.

Although the atoms occupy the same Wyckoff positions, nature allows for variations in the different compounds, *i.e.* the lattice parameters and the free  $z$  parameters of the  $8g$  and  $4e$  sites. This allows a high flexibility for this structural arrangement. A careful inspection of the  $z$  parameters listed in Table 2 readily reveals differences between the eight compounds. Based on this comparison, we can regroup the eight compounds into two groups, (i)  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ ,  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_5\text{Cu}_2\text{S}_2$ ,  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{-Cu}_2\text{S}_2$ , and  $(\text{K/Tl})_2\text{Cu}_7\text{SbS}_4$ , and (ii)  $\text{U}_3\text{Co}_4\text{Ge}_7$ ,  $\text{SmNi}_3\text{Ge}_3$ ,  $\text{Eu}_2\text{Pt}_7\text{AlP}_{2.95}$ , and  $\text{Eu}_2\text{Pt}_{7.3}\text{Mg}_{0.7}\text{P}_3$ . Since these structural differences significantly affect the chemical bonding, these two groups of compounds are isopointal [22, 23] rather than strictly isotypic. The short overview on these eight compounds manifests the large potential of these and other stacking variants of tetrahedral layers, and one can expect a rich crystal chemistry.

#### $^{57}\text{Fe}$ Mössbauer spectroscopy

The  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  Mössbauer spectra of the  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  sample at various temperatures are presented in Fig. 4

together with transmission integral fits. The corresponding fitting parameters are listed in Table 3. In accordance with the presence of a single Fe site, we observe a single signal at an isomer shift of  $\delta = 0.36(1) \text{ mm s}^{-1}$  and an experimental line width  $\Gamma = 0.34(1) \text{ mm s}^{-1}$  subject to quadrupole splitting of  $\Delta E_Q = 0.19(1) \text{ mm s}^{-1}$  at r.t. The non-cubic site symmetry ( $\bar{4}m2$ ) of the iron atoms is reflected in the quadrupole splitting value. For 77 and 4.2 K we observe also a single signal at the isomer shifts of  $\delta = 0.47(1) \text{ mm s}^{-1}$  and  $0.49(1) \text{ mm s}^{-1}$ , respectively. The increase of the isomer shift with decreasing temperature can be considered as a second-order Doppler shift (SODS). These parameters compare well with the recently reported  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  data for  $\text{LaFeAsO}$  [24, 25],  $\text{LaFePO}$  [26],  $\text{SrFe}_2\text{As}_2$  [27], and  $\text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2$  [28]. Down to 4.2 K, the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  spectra of  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Sc}_2\text{O}_5\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$  give no hint for magnetic ordering.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

- 
- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>[1] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, H. Hosono, <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> <b>2008</b>, <i>130</i>, 3296.</p> <p>[2] M. Rotter, M. Tegel, D. Johrendt, <i>Phys. Rev. Lett.</i> <b>2008</b>, <i>101</i>, 107006.</p> <p>[3] J. H. Tapp, Z. J. Tang, B. Lv, K. Sasmal, B. Lorenz, P. C. W. Chu, A. M. Guloy, <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> <b>2008</b>, <i>78</i>, 060505.</p> <p>[4] a) D. Johrendt, R. Pöttgen, <i>Angew. Chem.</i> <b>2008</b>, <i>120</i>, 4860; <i>Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.</i> <b>2008</b>, <i>47</i>, 4782; b) R. Pöttgen, D. Johrendt, <i>Z. Naturforsch.</i> <b>2008</b>, <i>63b</i>, 1135.</p> <p>[5] D. Johrendt, R. Pöttgen, <i>Physica C (Amsterdam)</i> <b>2009</b>, <i>469</i>, 332.</p> <p>[6] Z.-A. Ren, W. Lu, J. Yang, W. Yi, X.-L. Shen, Z.-C. Li, G.-C. Che, X.-L. Dong, L.-L. Sun, F. Zhou, Z.-X. Zhao, <i>Chin. Phys. Lett.</i> <b>2008</b>, <i>25</i>, 2215.</p> <p>[7] W. J. Zhu, P. H. Hor, <i>J. Solid State Chem.</i> <b>1997</b>, <i>134</i>, 128.</p> <p>[8] W. J. Zhu, P. H. Hor, <i>Inorg. Chem.</i> <b>1997</b>, <i>36</i>, 3576.</p> <p>[9] W. J. Zhu, P. H. Hor, <i>J. Solid State Chem.</i> <b>1997</b>, <i>134</i>, 128.</p> <p>[10] X. Zhu, F. Han, G. Mu, B. Zeng, P. Cheng, B. Shen, H.-H. Wen, <i>Phys. Rev. B</i> <b>2009</b>, <i>79</i>, 024516.</p> <p>[11] H. Ogino, Y. Matsumura, Y. Katsura, K. Ushiyama,</p> | <p>K. K. S. Horii, J. Shimoyama, <i>arXiv:0903.5124</i>, unpublished.</p> <p>[12] H. Ogino, Y. Matsumura, Y. Katsura, K. Ushiyama, S. Horii, K. Kishio, J.-I. Shimoyama, <i>Superconduct. Sci. Technol.</i> <b>2009</b>, <i>22</i>, 075008.</p> <p>[13] X. Zhu, F. Han, G. Mu, P. Cheng, B. Shen, B. Zeng, H.-H. Wen, <i>arXiv:0904.1732</i>, unpublished.</p> <p>[14] A. Coelho, TOPAS-Academic (version 4.1), Coelho Software, Brisbane (Australia) <b>2007</b>.</p> <p>[15] A. Le Bail, A. Jouanneaux, <i>J. Appl. Crystallogr.</i> <b>1997</b>, <i>30</i>, 265.</p> <p>[16] M. Rotter, M. Tegel, I. Schellenberg, F. M. Schapacher, R. Pöttgen, J. Deisenhofer, A. Gunther, F. Schrettle, A. Loidl, D. Johrendt, <i>New J. Phys.</i> <b>2009</b>, <i>11</i>, 025014.</p> <p>[17] R. A. Brand, NORMOS, Mössbauer Fitting Program, Universität Dortmund, Dortmund (Germany), <b>2002</b>.</p> <p>[18] M. Tegel, F. Hummel, S. Lackner, I. Schellenberg, R. Pöttgen, D. Johrendt, <i>Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.</i> <b>2009</b>, in press; <i>arXiv: 0904.0479</i>.</p> <p>[19] E. Makovicky, Z. Johan, S. Karup-Møller, <i>Neues Jahrb. Mineral. Abh.</i> <b>1980</b>, <i>138</i>, 122.</p> <p>[20] O. Y. Mruz, V. K. Pecharsky, A. N. Sobolev, O. I. Bodak, <i>Kristallografiya</i> <b>1990</b>, <i>35</i>, 202.</p> |
|--|--|

- [21] R. Pöttgen, B. Chevalier, P. Gravereau, B. Darriet, W. Jeitschko, J. Etourneau, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1995**, *115*, 247.
- [22] E. Parthé, L.M. Gelato, *Acta Crystallogr. A* **1984**, *40*, 169.
- [23] L.M. Gelato, E. Parthé, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1987**, *20*, 139.
- [24] S. Kitao, Y. Kobayashi, S. Higashitaniguchi, M. Saito, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, T. Mitsui, H. Hosono, M. Seto, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **2008**, *77*, 103706.
- [25] H.H. Klauss, H. Luetkens, R. Klingeler, C. Hess, F.J. Litterst, M. Kraken, M.M. Korshunov, I. Eremin, S.L. Drechsler, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, J. Hamann-Borrero, N. Leps, A. Kondrat, G. Behr, J. Werner, B. Büchner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *101*,
- [26] M. Tegel, I. Schellenberg, R. Pöttgen, D. Johrendt, *Z. Naturforsch.* **2008**, *63b*, 1057.
- [27] M. Tegel, M. Rotter, V. Weiss, F. Schappacher, R. Pöttgen, D. Johrendt, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2008**, *20*.
- [28] M. Rotter, M. Tegel, D. Johrendt, I. Schellenberg, W. Hermes, R. Pöttgen, *Phys. Rev. B* **2008**, *78*, 020503.
- [29] W.J. Zhu, P.H. Hor, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1997**, *130*, 319.
- [30] K. Otzsch, H. Ogino, J.-I. Shimoyama, K. Kishio, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **1999**, *117*, 729.
- [31] C. Lux, G. Wenski, A. Mewis, *Z. Naturforsch.* **1991**, *46b*, 1035.